



REGIONAL CENTRE FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY

STUDENT DISCIPLINARY SYSTEM

1.1 PREAMBLE

Students enrolled at the Regional Centre for Biotechnology will conduct themselves, at all times, in accordance with accepted principles of responsible citizenship and with due regards to the rights of others both within the campus and in the community at large.

As students of the academic community of the Centre, they enjoy the rights enjoined in the tenets of academic freedom including the freedom to learn, the freedom to discuss and express one's views within limits that do not interfere with the rights of others, protection against prejudices and capricious academic evaluation, the right of privacy as enjoyed by other citizens, etc. At the same time students have an obligation to conduct themselves in a manner which is compatible with the aims and objectives of the Centre suited to the members of the academic community. Self-discipline and respect for the rights of others in the academic community are essential ingredients for fulfilment of the Centre's vision and goals.

Clause 10(s) of the RCB Act 2016 provides for regulation and enforcement of the discipline among the students and to take such disciplinary measures. The rules laid down hereunder prescribe the disciplinary governance system for regulating the conduct of students of the Regional Centre for Biotechnology, herein after called as the 'Centre' or the 'Regional Centre'.

The goal of the disciplinary system in the Regional Centre is to regulate the conduct of the individual student as well as to protect the integrity and security of the academic community of the Centre, and its mission, by serving as a deterrent to student misconduct. The objective of the student disciplinary system is to promote responsible citizenship in an organizational and social setting.

The student disciplinary system is divided in two parts covering:

- (i) Code of Conduct; and
- (ii) Code of Academic Integrity.

1.2 CODE OF CONDUCT

The Code of Conduct sets forth the following matters:

1.2.1 Prohibited Conduct (other than academic dishonesty):

Types of prohibited conduct for which students are subject to discipline include, but not limited to, are the following:

Institutional

- a. Misbehaving with Supervisor, providing false or misleading information to a member of staff or to a law enforcement officer acting in the performance of his/ her duty or failing to comply with the reasonable directions of a member of the staff of the Centre or of a law enforcement officer acting in the performance of his/ her duty.

- b. Participation in a disruptive or coercive demonstration that substantially impedes operations and/or Centre-sponsored activities or interferes with the rights of others or takes place on premises or at times where students are not authorized to be.
- c. Intentional or reckless destruction or damage of the Centre or public property or the property of others.
- d. Abuse of computers where the Centre's academic interest is affected.
- e. Forgery, falsification, fabrication, unauthorized alteration, or misuse of Centre documents, or records, including electronic software, data and records.
- f. Unauthorized access to, disclosure of, or use of any Centre document, or records, including electronic software, data and records.
- g. Violation of published Centre's policy, rules or regulations, including biosafety norms.
- h. Inciting, aiding, or encouraging others to engage in a behavior which violates the Code of Conduct.
- i. Abuse of the student disciplinary system including violation of the terms of any disciplinary sanction imposed for an earlier violation of the Code of Conduct.
- j. Abuse of the Centre resources for commercial activities and financial gains.
- k. Committing or attempting to commit any act which is or would be a violation of a local, state or central law on or off Centre property when such behavior is detrimental to the interest of the Centre community.
- l. Misrepresenting oneself or an organization as an agent of the Centre.
- m. Unauthorized entry to or use of Centre, public, or private premises.
- n. Use, possession, display, or storage of any weapon, dangerous instrument, explosive material or device, fireworks, bomb-making materials or dangerous chemicals on Centre property or at a Centre-sponsored activity.
- o. The use of force or violence, actual or threatened, to willfully deny, obstruct, impair or interfere with the freedom of movement of any person or the performance of institutional duties by a member of the Centre staff or by knowingly occupying or remaining in or at any property/ facility controlled by the Centre after receiving due notice to depart.
- p. Conduct such as shouting, noise making, obstruction of meetings / classes, etc., that substantially threaten or interfere with the maintenance of order and discipline in the operation of the Centre.
- q. Engaging in, supporting, promoting, or sponsoring ragging, i.e., any action taken or situation created, for purposes of initiation into the Centre/Hostel, which produces physical discomfort or injury, mental discomfort, embarrassment or ridicule of a fellow student.

Personal

- a. Conduct that threatens the health and safety of self and any individual including:
 - Causing physical harm;
 - Causing reasonable apprehension of such harm;
 - Engaging in conduct or communications that may be interpreted as a serious expression of intent to harm.

- b. Sexual misconduct.
- c. Theft, unauthorized use, or unauthorized possession of the property of others, or knowingly possessing stolen property.
- d. Engaging in harassment or repeated contact which a reasonable person would understand as being unwanted, including, but not limited to, stalking.
- e. Indecent exposure of the body, including, but not limited to urination or defecation in public.
- f. Impersonation of another by using another person's identity, or furnishing materially false information, including manufacturing or possession of false identification.
- g. Engaging in smoking, gambling or consuming alcoholic beverages or using drugs and other intoxicants within the premises.
- h. Engaging in discriminatory activities including keeping any weapons like gun as prohibited by applicable law.
- i. Making or attempting to make or distributing or secretly viewing a sound or visual recording of any person(s) in bathrooms, at privacy such as showers, bedrooms or any others where there is a reasonable expectation of privacy, without the knowledge and consent of all subject to such recordings.

1.2.2 Disciplinary Procedures

- I. A case of alleged violation of the Code of Conduct by a student or a group of students may be initiated through a written referral by any student or a faculty member and the Executive Director or any other functionary of the Centre to the Registrar in case of the purported violation occurring within the Campus or outside in the community.
- II. The written referral must provide sufficient facts, including specific name(s), date(s), locations and a description of the alleged act(s) of misconduct to enable the concerned authorities (Registrar or as decided) to decide whether further fact-finding is necessary.
- III. On consideration of the written referral, the authorities will make an initial determination as to whether there is a sufficient basis to believe that a violation of the Student Code of Conduct may have occurred. It may be decided to interview the complainant and other witnesses or to request additional information from the complainant.
- IV. If the determination is to pursue the violation under the Code of Conduct, the student concerned shall be notified in writing of the alleged violation and provided with the following:
 - An explanation of the charges which have been made;
 - A summary of the information gathered; and
 - An explanation of the applicable disciplinary procedure.
- V. The student shall be given a reasonable opportunity to reflect upon and respond on his/her own behalf to the charges. He/she shall also be provided an opportunity to meet with the concerned authorities. Students may accept responsibility for the Student Code of Conduct violations and waive their rights to procedures provided under these rules. A student who fails to attend the meeting will forfeit his/her right to respond on his/her behalf regarding the alleged violation, unless the student can demonstrate that an extraordinary circumstance prevented his/her appearance. If the student fails to attend the meeting, the concerned authorities shall proceed further on an ex-parte basis.
- VI. In either case whether the concerned student responds or not, cases of disciplinary infractions shall be placed before the Student Disciplinary Committee, for consideration of the evidence including the written reply of the concerned student. The Committee

shall also give a hearing to the witnesses, if any, and the defaulting student which shall either be recorded electronically or transcribed for the purposes of maintaining records of the hearing. Thereafter the Committee shall decide on the magnitude of violation or otherwise of the alleged defaulter and adjudicate on possible sanctions to be imposed.

VII. The composition of the Student Disciplinary Committee shall be as follows.

- Dean Academics (Chair)
 - Two members of Academic Committee (to be nominated by the Executive Director)
 - The Warden-in-charge, ex-officio (only for hostel issues);
 - Two faculty members nominated by the Executive Director for a term of two years, out of which one should be a female member.
 - A students' representative may be invited, if the Committee decides so based on requirement of individual cases.
- For academic issues, Warden-in-charge may not be required.

VIII. Registrar office will support the conduct of proceedings and maintain records.

- IX. The Student Disciplinary Committee shall submit their recommendation with regards the sanction(s) to be imposed plus a statement of the charges to the Executive Director.
- X. On consideration of the recommendations of the Student Disciplinary Committee, the Executive Director shall make a tentative decision regarding the sanction(s) to be imposed. The same shall be communicated, in writing, by the Registrar office to the concerned student and the student shall be given 7 days to show cause as to why the proposed sanction(s) be not imposed.
- XI. On consideration of the student reply to the show cause notice, the Executive Director shall decide on the sanction(s) to be imposed keeping in mind any mitigating or aggravating factors, including any prior violations of the Code of Conduct.
- XII. The decision as at sub-clause (x) hereinabove shall be final. Provided, however, a student aggrieved against the sanction imposed shall have the right to prefer an appeal which shall be processed as per the '**appeals procedure**' spelt out hereunder. Such an appeal would need to be filed with the Registrar within 20 days of the order imposing the sanction. Pending the outcome of the appeal imposition of the disciplinary sanctions shall be suspended except that if the sanction is expulsion or suspension the defaulting student will not be permitted to graduate nor would his official transcript / grade card be released until the appeal process has been concluded.
- XIII. Vide clause 23(2) (a-f) of the RCB Act 2016, the authority to ensure maintenance of discipline vests with the Executive Director. In view of this empowerment, in very exceptional circumstances, the Executive Director may suspend the disciplinary procedure outlined hereinabove and appoint a Special Disciplinary Committee to investigate and/or recommend the action to be taken in case of any act of gross violation of the Code of Conduct involving a large number of students which may tarnish the image of the Centre.

Violations at the Hostel premises

Violation of Code of Conduct at the hostel premises must be reported to the Warden-in-charge. The Warden-in-Charge may also initiate an investigation based on any reliable information received from reliable sources without any written complaint.

1.2.3 Types of Sanctions

The Student Disciplinary Committee may impose one or more of the following sanctions for any violation of the Code of Conduct:

- (a) **Educational and Social Response**, i.e., a student may be required to complete an educational programme at his/her own expense or write a paper / a letter of apology or engage in community service or some other educational task which addresses the student's conduct.
- (b) **Restricted Access to Centre Property**, i.e., the defaulting student's access to research, communication, computing and other resources of the Centre may be restricted for a specific period of time or until certain specified conditions are met.
- (c) **Administrative hold**, i.e., debarring use of Centre placement services, precluding / cancellation of registration, withholding of transcript / grade card / graduation, debarring from taking the major examination, etc. for a specified period or till clearance is received from the Authority.
- (d) **Fine**, i.e., a monetary penalty including cost of restitution for any damage to property of the Centre or that of any person / group / organization incurred as a result of violation of the Code of Conduct.
- (e) **Reprimand**, i.e., issue of a written rebuke for the act of misconduct and a warning that further misconduct may result in a more severe disciplinary action.
- (f) **Disciplinary Probation**, i.e., removal of the student from good standing for a stated period of time and until additional restrictions or conditions that may have been imposed has been met. Furthermore, any violation of the conditions of probation or any rules including the Code of Conduct during the probationary period may result in the imposition of additional disciplinary sanctions.
- (g) **Degree Revocation**, i.e., revocation of a degree, certificate or other academic distinction previously awarded by the Centre to the student. An indication of the revocation shall be recorded on the student's transcript / grade card.
- (h) **Suspension**, i.e., temporary separation/ removal from the Centre for a specific period of time, and / or until specific conditions, if imposed, have been met. An indication of the suspension may be recorded on the student's transcript / grade card.
- (i) **Expulsion**, i.e., permanent dismissal / removal of the delinquent student from the rolls of the Centre including debarment from future participation in any Centre-sponsored activity and entry into Centre's activity. An indication of the expulsion may be recorded on the student's transcript / grade card.
- (j) **Vacating the hostel**, i.e. removal of a student from hostel in case of major violation.

1.2.4 Disciplinary Authority

Major sanctions as provided under sub-clauses 1.2.3 (g-j) can only be imposed by the Executive Director whilst the power to impose the minor sanctions as provided under sub-clauses 1.2.3 (a-f) may be delegated to the Student Disciplinary Committee after approval from Executive Director. Furthermore, in the case of violation of the Hostel Rules, the Warden-in-charge may decide on minor sanctions under sub-clauses 1.2.3 (a-f) with the help of Student Disciplinary Committee. Provided, however, the penalty imposed may be reported to the Executive Director soon after imposition of the penalty. However, for major sanctions as provided under sub-clauses 1.2.3 (g-j), the approval of Executive Director will be required.

1.2.5 Appeals Procedure

- I. Appeal against a minor sanction imposed can be made to the Executive Director who shall consider the written brief of the defaulting student as to why he feels aggrieved and also examine all evidence on which the imposition of the penalty is based. He/she

shall also give a hearing to the concerned student. Based on the above inputs, the Executive Director shall arrive at a decision as to whether the imposed sanctions should remain as imposed or should be modified.

- II. Appeal against a major sanction imposed by the Executive Director can be made to the Board of Studies (BoS). The BoS shall base their decision on the appeal on the written brief of the defaulting student as to why he feels aggrieved and the evidence available with the investigation committee. The Committee shall also independently give a hearing to a representative of the concerned investigation committee as well as the aggrieved student. Based on all of the above inputs the BoS shall make a recommendation, to the Executive Director, in two parts depending on the nature of the appeal. First, it shall make a recommendation as to whether in their opinion a violation of the Code of Conduct was more likely than not to have been committed by the concerned student. Second, it shall make a recommendation as to whether the imposed sanctions may remain as imposed or should be modified.
- III. The oral presentations made before the Committee shall be recorded and kept as a record of the case.
- IV. The decision of the BoS, which either affirms or modifies the sanctions communicated to the defaulting student, shall be final and not subject to any further review at the Centre level unless significant new evidence is produced by the defaulting student.
- V. The decision on the appeal arrived at by the Executive Director, or BoS, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the concerned student within 10 days thereafter by the Registrar.

1.2.6 Disciplinary Files and Records

- a. Decisions on sanctions / penalties imposed for violation of the Code of Conduct shall be placed in the concerned student's file and the Office of the Registrar.
- b. Disciplinary records shall be maintained in the office of the Registrar in accordance with the Centre's document retention policy. In individual cases documents may be retained for a longer period, or permanently, if so specified in the sanction order.
- c. The office of the Registrar may place a hold on release of the student's academic and institutional records should the student refuse to respond to, or to comply with, the procedures or sanctions imposed in accordance with the provisions in the Code of Conduct.

1.3 CODE OF ACADEMIC AND RESEARCH INTEGRITY

1.3.1 Preamble

I. The Regional Centre has a responsibility to maintain academic and research integrity so as to protect the quality of its education and research output as well as to protect those who depend upon the Centre's national positioning and integrity in the sector. The onus for creating such a transparent environment primarily vests with the faculty. With this in mind, one of the prime responsibilities of the faculty is to both establish and enforce standards of academic integrity. It is therefore imperative for faculty members in their capacity as course instructors and research mentors to provide their students with a clear statement of their expectations concerning academic and research integrity.

II. The Code on Academic and Research Integrity as applicable to all students on the rolls of the Centre sets forth the following matters:

- Student Obligations;
- Disciplinary Sanctions (including Competent Disciplinary Authority);
- Disciplinary Procedures;

- Appeal Procedure;
- Disciplinary Files and Records; and
- Undertaking by Students on Academic and Research Integrity.

1.3.2 Student Obligations

It is an obligation of each student to act with utmost honesty and integrity and to respect the rights of fellow students while carrying out academic and research assignments. Under the Code on Academic and Research Integrity, a student is considered to have engaged in academic dishonesty or research misconduct if, in connection with any academic evaluation or an academic or research assignment (including a paid research position), he/she:

- Engages in any form of academic deceit;
- Refers to materials or sources or uses devices (for example, computer disks, audio recorders, camera phones, text messages, crib sheets, calculators, solution manuals, materials from previous classes, or commercial research services) not authorized by the Course Instructor for use during an academic evaluation or assignment;
- Possesses, reviews, buys, sells, obtains, or uses, without appropriate authorization, any material intended to be used for an academic evaluation or assignment in advance of its administration;
- Acts as a substitute for another person in any academic evaluation or assignment;
- Uses a substitute in any academic evaluation or assignment;
- Depends on the aid of others, including other students or tutors, in connection with any academic evaluation or assignment to the extent that the work is not representative of the student's abilities;
- Provides inappropriate aid to any person in connection with any academic evaluation or assignment, including the unauthorized use of camera phones, text messages, photocopies, notes or other means to copy or photograph materials used or intended for academic evaluations;
- Engages in plagiarism;
- Uses materials from the internet or any other source without full and appropriate acknowledgement;
- Permits his/her work to be submitted by another person in connection with any academic evaluation or assignment, without authorization;
- Claims credit for or submits work done by others;
- Signs an attendance sheet for another student, allows another student to sign on his/her behalf, or otherwise participates in gaining credit for attendance for oneself or another without actually attending;
- Falsifying or misrepresenting hours of activities in relationship to an internship, field experience or some other similar activity;
- Attempts to influence or change any academic evaluation, assignment or academic record by means of bribes, favors or threats either directly or through conspiring with another person to do so on his/her behalf;
- Tampers, alters, circumvents, or destroys any educational material or resource in a manner which deprives fellow students fair access or reasonable use of that material or resource;
- Uses Centre resources in an unauthorized manner for non-educational, private or commercial purposes;
- Indulges in computer-related infractions including unauthorized use of computer licenses, copyright material, intellectual rights or trade secrets;
- Uses electronic means to make and distribute unauthorized copies of laboratory notebooks or other research records;
- Distributes research materials such as data or reagents without proper authorization;
- Fabricates research results and records them as if they were real;
- Falsifies research data by changing, omitting, suppressing or adding data;
- Uses others work without giving them credit;
- Distorts research records to suit a particular outcome;
- Withholds research results for personal gain or vendetta;

- Misrepresents, exaggerates or undermines research results;
- Accuses fellow researcher of misconduct with malicious intent;
- Hampers work of other researchers;
- Misuses seniority to encourage violations;
- Does not follow publication ethics;
- Tries to take away credit due for others;
- Magnifies or manipulates data to exaggerate significance for future gains;
- Does not follow ethical guidelines for experiments;
- Waste animals in research
- Misconduct regarding authorship in a publication; and
- Misconduct regarding conflict of interest.

1.3.3 Disciplinary Sanctions (including Competent Disciplinary Authority)

I. The variety of academic settings encountered in the Centre precludes the laying down of uniform penalties for all types of infractions of academic and research integrity. In an individual case of academic infraction, the disciplinary authority would need to make a judgment on the appropriate sanction to be imposed in light of the nature of the class, the kind of tasks assigned to other students, the student's behavior during the semester, prior warnings issued to the student, conduct of research experiments, handling of research data etc. However, subject to the disciplinary procedure set forth hereunder a course instructor, the thesis supervisor, or the Academic Committee, as the case may be, is empowered, under the code on Academic and Research Integrity, to impose one or more of the following sanctions/ penalties for engaging in an act of academic dishonesty:

- A written notice of warning with a copy to the student's file in the academic department and the Student Disciplinary Committee;
- A reduced or failing grade for the assignment;
- A reduced or failing grade for the course;
- A reduced or failing grade or denial of credit for other academic evaluation, for example, practical training, proficiency or comprehensive examination, project thesis, dissertation.

In case of research misconduct, action may be taken depending on severity of misconduct ranging from issue of warning, reprimanding, revision of experiments, rewriting records and drafts, suspension and expulsion

II. Besides the sanctions/ penalties listed in sub-clause (i) herein above the course instructor, the thesis supervisor, or the Academic Committee may recommend to the Executive Director, that the sanction / penalty of Suspension or Expulsion or Degree Revocation be imposed on the student in addition to one or more of the sanctions that the Course Instructor has himself / herself imposed.

1.3.4 Disciplinary Procedures

- a. A Course Instructor or a thesis Supervisor who believes a student is guilty of one or more infractions of the tenets of academic or research integrity listed in sub-clause 1.3.2 herein above shall notify the student in writing on the basis of the belief and give the concerned student 10 working days to submit a response to the allegation.
- b. If the deadline for reporting the grade occurs prior to the time allowed for the student response, the Instructor shall, with the permission of the Executive Director, assign the student 'I' grade, to be changed as may be appropriate when the case is resolved. Furthermore, after issue of the written notification the student may not withdraw (drop) from the course until the case has been resolved.
- c. The disciplinary procedure outlined at sub-clauses (a) and (b) shall also be followed in cases where the alleged infraction of academic integrity is reported to the Course

Instructor by some other person provided the Course Instructor on investigation of the allegation also comes to believe that the reported infraction has indeed occurred.

- d. When two or more students are accused of cooperating in an academic infraction, their cases shall be handled independently. The fact-finding inquiries should establish their independent guilt and sanctions for each individual should be decided separately. When one or more of the parties to the alleged infraction is not enrolled for the course affected, their cases shall be forwarded to the Student Disciplinary Committee for adjudication of their cases.
- e. On consideration of all facts available with the Course Instructor, including through questioning of fellow students, the response of the concerned student to the allegation and any other factor or factors that are relevant in the best judgment of the Course Instructor, s/he shall arrive at a conclusion on the student's innocence or guilt.
- f. If the conclusion of the Course Instructor is that the student is not guilty of the alleged infraction, the Course Instructor shall inform the student of this conclusion and also notify all other individuals who were earlier notified of the allegation of infraction. The student shall also be permitted:
 - to be reinstated in the course and be given whatever grade he/she is entitled to without regard to the charge of an infraction of academic integrity;
 - to drop the course any time of the semester irrespective of the usual deadline for dropping of courses.
- g. If the conclusion of the Course Instructor is that the student is guilty of the alleged infraction, the Course Instructor shall decide which of the sanctions listed in sub-clause 1.3.3 is warranted. If the proposed sanction is within the level of empowerment of the Course Instructor, he/she shall notify the student and the Academic section in writing of the sanction imposed and the reason for it.
- h. If the Course Instructor concludes that an additional sanction beyond his/her level of empowerment is also warranted, the entire case shall be forwarded by the Course Instructor to the Academic Committee who shall discuss the matter independently with the Course Instructor and the concerned student. If after the aforesaid discussions the Academic Committee concludes that suspension or expulsion or degree revocation is indeed warranted, as recommended by the Course Instructor, he/she convene a meeting of the Student Discipline Committee regarding the academic integrity to review the case.
- i. The Student Discipline Committee shall review the entire evidence in the case and hear a presentation by both the student and Course Instructor who shall be entitled to be present throughout the hearing and to refute arguments presented by each other. The confidentiality of all evidence shall be maintained. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Student Discipline Committee shall give its considered recommendation with regards to the instructor's findings as to the guilt of the student and the proposed sanctions to the Executive Director for a final adjudication on the penalty.
- j. In case of research misconduct, the thesis Supervisor shall follow the same disciplinary procedure of finding the facts him/herself, then passing on the case to Academic Committee. If major penalty is recommended, the case is referred to Student Disciplinary Committee followed by approval of the Executive Director for the major penalty to be imposed.
- k. The decisions as at sub-clauses (g, i and j) herein above, as the case may be, shall be final. Provided, however, a student aggrieved against the sanctions imposed shall have the right to prefer an appeal which shall be processed as per the 'appeals procedure' spelt out hereunder. Such an appeal would need to be filed with the Registrar within 5 working days of the date of order imposing the sanction. Pending the outcome of the appeal, if made, imposition of the disciplinary sanctions shall be suspended except that if the sanction is expulsion or suspension the defaulting student will not be permitted

to graduate nor would his official transcript / grade card be released/thesis submitted until the appeal process has been concluded.

1.3.5 Appeals Procedure

- a. For sanctions imposed by the Course Instructor, or the thesis Supervisor, or the Academic Committee, the appeals can be made to the Academic Committee. The sole question before the adjudicating authority is whether the breach of integrity in question is of such a nature as to warrant a suspension or expulsion from the Centre or a degree revocation. The decision of the Committee on the facts of the breach of integrity shall be final. The Committee shall base its decision on the appeal on the written brief of the defaulting student as to why he/she feels aggrieved and the evidence available with the sanction imposing authority. It shall also independently give a hearing to both parties before making its recommendations on the quantum of sanction. Based on the above inputs the Academic Committee shall make its recommendation with respect to the continuation or otherwise of the sanction to the Executive Director
- b. Following a final review of the recommendations the Academic Committee/Student Discipline Committee, the decision arrived at by the Executive Director shall be communicated to the concerned student by the Registrar within 10 days.
- c. The said decision by the Executive Director shall be final and not be subject to any further review unless significant new evidence is produced by the defaulting student.

1.3.6 Disciplinary Files and Records

- a. Decisions on sanctions / penalties imposed for violation of the Code on Academic and Research Integrity shall be reported to the Academic Committee for its information and placed in the concerned student's file in the office of the Registrar.
- b. Disciplinary records on cases of breach of academic and research integrity shall be maintained in the office of the Registrar in accordance with the Centre's document retention policy. In individual cases documents may be retained for a longer period, or permanently, if so specified in the sanction order.
- c. The office of Registrar may place a hold on release of the student's academic and institutional records should the student refuse to respond to, or to comply with, the procedures or sanctions imposed in accordance with the provisions in the Code on Academic and Research Integrity.

1.3.7 Any other matter

In case of any other item not mentioned above, the Executive Director will have the powers to take a decision.

1.3.8 Undertaking on Code of Conduct and Code of Academic & Research Integrity

At the time of admission, each student of the Centre shall sign an undertaking as per the format indicated hereunder:

UNDERTAKING ON CODE OF CONDUCT
and
CODE OF ACADEMIC & RESEARCH INTEGRITY

I,, student of the
.....programme at the Regional Centre
for Biotechnology, Faridabad do hereby declare that I have read the Centre's Code of
Conduct, and Code of Academic & Research Integrity, applicable to all students of the
Centre. I have understood the contents of the said Code, and will abide by its precepts.

Signature _____

Date _____

Name of Student _____

Registration No. _____

Name of Supervisor _____